

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,630	0/762,630 01/20/2004		Chung L. Chang	JHNSF.028CP1	5019
20995	7590	12/12/2005	•	EXAMINER	
		NS OLSON & BEA	EDELL, JOSEPH F		
	2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR				PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, C	A 92614		3636		

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/762,630	CHANG, CHUNG L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joseph F. Edell	3636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Oc	ctober 2005.						
· <u> </u>	·						
•—							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-12 and 22-25</u> is/are pending in t	he application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-12</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-25</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 August 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·						
application from the International Bureau	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
Attachment(s)	d) Takanilan Gumana	(PTO 413)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/14/05-10/31/05</u> .	6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/762,630

Art Unit: 3636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a. line 3, "first hinge" should read -first hinge portion--;
  - b. line 14, "the aperture" should read --the aperture of the housing--.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,529,265 to Sakurai in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,681,366 to Lobanoff.

Sakurai discloses a video monitor that is basically the same as that recited in claim 22 except that housing lacks walls and a floor with an aperture, as recited in the claim. See Figures 1-6 of Sakurai for the teaching that the video monitor has a screen structure 11 (see Fig. 1) defining a first hinge portion 12 and including a viewing screen, a molded housing 6 sized and shaped to be positioned within a headrest and including a floor and a housing surface defining a second hinge portion 7a, and a first fastening member 8 securing the first hinge portion to the housing wherein the first and second

Application/Control Number: 10/762,630

Art Unit: 3636

hinge portions cooperate to pivotably secure the screen structure to the housing. Lobanoff shows a monitor similar to that of Sakurai wherein the monitor has a screen structure 11 (see Fig. 7) with a first housing portion 110, a molded housing 68 sized and shaped to be positioned within a headrest, the housing defining a floor, an upper wall, a lower wall, and two side walls which form a storage cavity, an aperture in the floor, a housing surface positioned between the upper and lower walls defining a second hinge portion 108, a removable fastener member 66 configured to be advance through the aperture and to be coupled to the headrest, and the screen is pivotably secured to the housing such that when the screen is pivoted outward from the housing, the second fastener is accessible and the second fastener is hidden from view during normal usage. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the video monitor of Sakurai such that the housing defines the floor, an upper wall, a lower wall, and two side walls which form a storage cavity, the housing surface being between the upper and lower walls, and a second removable fastening member configured to be advanced through the aperture and to be coupled with the headrest wherein the second fastener is accessible when the screen structure is pivoted outward from the housing and is hidden from view during normal usage of the video monitor, such as the monitor disclosed in Lobanoff. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in view of the suggestion in Lobanoff that the fastening member threadably attaches the housing to a reinforcing member of the headrest such that the monitor is flush with the rear of the headrest.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,630

Art Unit: 3636

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-4 and 6-12 are allowed. Claims 23-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/762,630 Page 5

Art Unit: 3636

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Edell whose telephone number is (571) 272-6858. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30am-5:00pm.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 2, 2005

Feter M. Cuomo
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3600